

Initial Equalities Impact Assessment



Development Sustainability		Key person responsible for the assessment: Tim Sadler	Date of Assessment: 6 th March 2012	
Is this assessment in the Corporate Equality Impact assessment Timetable for 2008-11?		Yes	No	
Name of the Policy to be assessed: Approach to implementation of Green Deal		Is this a new or existing policy	New	

1. Briefly describe the aims,	To clarify the Council's role in the delivery of the Green Deal in Oxford.
bbjectives and purpose of he policy	To ensure that the approach is effective and is targeted at fuel poverty as well as carbon reduction.
ת	
550	

2. Are there any associated objectives of the policy, please explain	YES – To support the move to a Low Carbon economy. To create local jobs and wealth								
3. Who is intended to benefit from the policy and in what way		Residents in the city through improved thermal comfort, consequential improvements in health, reduced carbon cotprint. People looking for work in the city and everyone deriving benefit from a sustainable environment and economy.							
Reduced carbon footprint for	4. What outcomes are wanted from this policy? Reduced carbon footprint for the city, increased thermal comfort and health gains for residents, more local jobs and cash in the local economy.								
551									
5. What factors/forces could	See risk register.								
6. Who are the main stakeholders in relation to the policy	The Council, householders and delivery partners	7. Who implements the policy and who is responsible for the policy?	Environmental Sustainability Team						

8. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact on racial groups?	¥	No	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We are making requirements as to meeting di project briefs.	versity and	d equality targets in partnership proposals and
552			

C	ת
C	Π
C	S

9. Are there concerns that the policy <u>could</u> have a differential impact due to gender?	¥	No	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We are making requirement proposals and project briefs		ersity and equality targets in partnership

C	5	1
C	5	1
4	_	_

10. Are there concerns that the policy could have a differential impact due disability?	¥	No			
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We are making requirement proposals and project briefs.	ts as to meeting o	diversity and	equality targets	in partnership

11. Are there concerns that the policy could have a differential impact on people due to sexual orientation?	¥	No	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We are making requiremen proposals and project briefs.	ts as to meeting	diversity and equality targets in partnership

12. Are there concerns that the policy could have a differential impact on people due to their age?	¥	No	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We are making requirement proposals and project briefs		ersity and equality targets in partnership

13. Are there concerns that the policy could have a differential impact on people due to their religious belief?	¥	No	
What existing evidence (either presumed or otherwise) do you have for this?	We are making requirements as to n and project briefs.	meeting diversity	and equality targets in partnership proposals

	17. Are there implications for t	there implications for the Service Plans?		e Plans?	YES	No	18. Date the Service Plan will be updated	May 2012	19. Date sent to Equalitie Officer		06.03.12
	20. Date reported to Equalities	reported to Equalities Board:				1	Date to Scrutiny and CEB	April 2012	21. Date published		April 2012
557	14. Could the differential impact identified in 8-13 amount to there being the potential for adverse impact in this policy?	¥	No		Can this adverse impact be justified on the grounds of promoting quality of opportunity for one group? Or any other reason Yes, is there enough evidence to proceed to a full EIA: ate on which Partial or Full impact assessment to be completed by						
	16. Should the policy proceed to a partial impact assessment?	¥	No	·							

Team members and service areas that were involved in this process: City Services People & Equalities:

Executive Director for City Services Equalities & Diversity Business Partner

This page is intentionally left blank